



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/022,805 | 11/16/2001 | Charles Patton | SRI-013 | 3867 |
| 52197 | 7590 | 02/22/2006 | | |
| MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP SRI INTERNATIONAL 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702 | | | EXAMINER MEUCCI, MICHAEL D | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2142 | PAPER NUMBER |

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/022,805

Applicant(s)

PATTON ET AL.

Examiner

Michael D. Meucci

Art Unit

2142

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 November 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 22-47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 22-47 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed 16 November 2005.

2. Claims 1-21 have been cancelled. Claims 22-34 remain pending. Claims 35-47 have been added as new.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 22-47 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mahany (U.S. 5,960,344).

a. As per claim 22, Mahany teaches: a method for managing communications over a plurality of networked devices, the method comprising: exchanging a first communication between a first networked device and a second networked device over a point-to-point medium (lines 51-67 of column 1, line 62 of column 2 through line 12 of column 3, and lines 44-53 of column 5); and configuring, via said first communication, a use of a shared medium by at least said second network device (lines 35-52 of column 8 and lines 22-30 of column 9).

b. As per claim 23, Mahany teaches: said configuring enables said second networked device to communicate with a third networked device over said shared medium (lines 35-47 of column 2, line 64 of column 11 through line 22 of column 12, and Fig. 9).

c. As per claim 24, Mahany teaches: exchanging a second communication between said first networked device and said third networked device over said shared medium (lines 31-49 of column 12 and Fig. 9).

d. As per claim 25, Mahany teaches: said second networked device initiates said first communication (lines 51-67 of column 1).

e. As per claims 26-27, Mahany teaches: wherein at least one of: said first networked device and said second networked device is a personal computing device, and wherein said personal computing device is at least one of: a personal digital assistant, a tablet computer, a laptop computer, a mobile phone, a handheld gaming device and a picoradio (lines 6-18 of column 18 and Figs. 1-15).

f. As per claims 28-29, Mahany teaches: wherein said first networked device is a network resource and wherein said network resource is at least one of: a printer, a projection display, a robot, a scanner, a facsimile machine, and a data collection device (line 64 of column 11 through line 49 of column 12 and Fig. 9).

g. As per claim 30, Mahany teaches: wherein said first networked device is part of a wired communications network (lines 35-37 of column 1, lines 31-33 of column 2, lines 44-47 of column 8, and Figs. 1-15).

h. As per claim 31, Mahany teaches: wherein said second networked device is part of a wireless communication network (lines 35-38 of column 8, line 60 of column 8 through line 30 of column 9, and Figs. 1-15).

i. As per claim 32, Mahany teaches: wherein said point-to-point medium is at least one of: an infrared communications network and a radio frequency communications network (lines 30-32 of column 1, lines 10-29 of column 2, and Figs. 1-15).

j. As per claim 33, Mahany teaches: wherein said first communication grants at least one of said first networked devices and said second networked device a capability to perform a specified action in accordance with said shared medium (line 65 of column 4 through line 3 of column 5 and lines 44-47 of column 8).

k. As per claim 34, Mahany teaches: wherein said configuring comprises: providing data to said second networked device to enable said second networked device to connect to said shared medium (line 65 of column 4 through line 3 of column 5 and lines 44-47 of column 8).

l. Claims 35-47 contain similar limitations as those disclosed in claims 22-34 and are rejected under the same rationale.

5. Claims 22 and 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Enns et al. (U.S. 6,658,010 B1) hereinafter referred to as Enns.

a. As per claims 22 and 35, Enns teaches: exchanging a first communication between a first networked device and a second networked device over a point-to-point

medium and configuring, via said first communication, a use of a shared medium by at least said second network device (lines 24-57 of column 2)

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 27 October 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

7. (A) As per claim 22, the applicant contends that Mahany fails to teach, show or suggest the novel invention of communicating using a first, point-to-point medium to configure the use of a second, shared medium. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

Regarding point (A), the applicant argues that Mahany does not distinguish between such a first communication medium and a second communication medium or configuring the user of a shared medium via communications over a point-to-point medium. The examiner points to lines 51-67 of column 1 which discloses: "In prior WLANs, a first wireless terminal that desired to communicate with a base station often could not detect transmissions from a second wireless terminal currently engaged in ongoing communication with the access point. As a result, the wireless terminal often initiated transmissions that collided with the ongoing communications. Operation of this type is referred to as a "hidden terminal" situation. To solve the hidden terminal situation, some prior base stations were configured with a second transmitter for delivering a carrier signal on a "busy channel" whenever the base station was engaged in communication on the "data channel." All terminals were also fitted with a second

receiver, tuned to the busy channel, and required to check the busy channel before initiating communication on the data channel. However, the additional power required, bandwidth used, hardware needed and associated cost made the busy channel solution undesirable for most applications,” and line 62 of column 2 through line 12 of column 3 which discloses: “The access point may also comprise a wired communication interface circuit coupled to the first processing circuit that may itself comprise a first and a second microprocessor. Additionally, at least one of the plurality of wireless roaming devices may participate on the first wireless communication channel while the other of the plurality of wireless roaming devices participates on the second wireless communication channel. Although the at least one of the plurality of wireless roaming devices may participate on the first wireless communication channel as directed by the access device, other variations and combinations are also possible. For example, the at least one of the plurality of wireless roaming devices may participate on the first wireless communication channel to exchange a specific type of data, and/or may participate based on current channel conditions. Such participation may be based the fact that, in some embodiments, the second wireless communication channel is more deterministic than the first wireless communication channel.” These citations from Mahany clearly teaches exchanging a first communication between a first networked device and a second networked device over a point-to-point medium and configuring, via said first communication, a use of a shared medium by at least said second network device.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Thompson et al. (U.S. 5,726,976) discloses configuration through point-to-point media in a star configured network.

Soltis et al. (U.S. 6,493,804 B1) discloses point-to-point communication and shared media in global file systems.

Corbett et al. (U.S. 6,560,205 B1) discloses Fibre channel with point-to-point and shared media.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Meucci at (571) 272-3892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell, can be reached at (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for this Group is 571-273-8300.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [michael.meucci@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record

includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


BEATRIZ PRIETO
PRIMARY EXAMINER